

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.mspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,066	06/25/2001	Duriez Gilbert	612.40180X00	1768
20457	7590 08/04/2003	3		•
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			EXAMINER	
			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1743	•	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

In

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/887,066	GILBERT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Samuel P Siefke	1743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on <u>13</u>	May 2003				
2a) ☐ This action is FI	<u></u>	nis action is non-final.				
, <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/	are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/a	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is	s/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) a	re subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exar	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited Discrete of Draftsperson's Page 1	(PTO-892) Itent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/887,066

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims **9-23** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 16-23 have been rejoined due to the Applicant's traversal of the restriction requirement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims **9-23** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Issenmann (USPN 5,090,256 in view of Cheney et al. (USPN 5,566,720).

Issenmann teaches a method and apparatus for sampling the gaseous content of a liquid by providing a means for extracting in the gaseous form hydrocarbons

Application/Control Number: 09/887,066

Art Unit: 1743

contained in a liquid drilling fluid after drilling in a reservoir rock (liquid laden with solids, oil well, col. 1, lines 7-13; col. 3, lines 2-32), means for transporting the extracted gases (col. 5, lines 58-63), means intended for analysis on theses extracted gases (abstract; col. 3, lines 11-18; col. 4, lines 19-20; col. col. 5, lines 58-63;).

Issenmann does not teach any information regarding the specific material that is in the transport tubing.

Cheney teaches an elongated fuel and vapor tube having multiple layers suitable for conveying fluids containing hydrocarbons having a first layer disposed radially innermost and having an inner surface capable of prolonged exposure to the hydrocarbon-containing fluid that comprises of a fluoroplastic material being a terpolymeric material containing tetrafluoroethylene, vinylidine difluoride and hexafluoropropylene (abstract, col. 3, lines 37-40; col. 4, lines 18-33), the inner tube being externally protected by at least one other sheath (claim 1). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time to modify Issenmann to include the elongated fuel and vapor tube of Cheney because of the increased retention time of the hydrocarbons in the tubing during the transporting to the analyzer or the measurement means.

Regarding claim 4, the thickness of the inner tube ranges between 0.1 mm and 0.5 mm and it is preferably below 0.2mm. Cheney teaches the inner tubing having a **total wall thickness** of between about 0.5 mm and 2 mm. At its smallest wall thickness 0.5 mm the wall comprises of three layers, an innermost, a secondary sub layer, and a

Application/Control Number: 09/887,066

Art Unit: 1743

second layer, a total of three layers (claim 14; col. 4, lines 34-46). Therefore at least one of the layers is below 0.2 mm.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 703-306-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9311 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

SPS

July 28, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 Page 4